

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,360	09/25/2006	Misa Hanita	Q93023	9859
23373 SUGHRUE M	23073. SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL-VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNS			WOOD, ELLEN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	^
from Pre-Appeal Brief	1
Review	Ļ

	Applicat	ion/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
ı	10/567,	360	HANITA ET AL.	
ı			Art Unit	
	ELLEN	S. WOOD	1782	
		- V		

This is in response:	to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for	Review filed 15	June 2011

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 15 Jun	e 2011.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre- lude reasons why a review is appropri- s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re	ate.
	nse continues to run from the receipt d mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal ha	
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pr fer appeal because there is at least or a coordance with 37 CFR 41.37. If from mailing this decision, or the bal tice of appeal, whichever is greater. F. 77 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail dat able.	ne actual issue for appeal. Applicar The time period for filing an appea ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of ti
☑ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-1 Claim(s) withdrawn from core	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follo 6 nsideration:	ws:
	conference has been held. The reject ution on the merits remains closed. N	
	conference has been held. The rejectic action is required by applicant at this ti	
All participants:		
(1) Ellen S Wood.	(3) Rena L. Dye	<u>2</u> .
(2) <u>Jennifer McNeil</u> .	(4)	
/ELLEN S WOOD/ Examiner, Art Unit 1782	/Jennifer C McNeil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1784	/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1782